

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/974,727	10/09/2001	Geoffrey P. Coco	ONAI118121	8134	
26389	7590 03/16/2005		EXAMINER		
CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC 1420 FIFTH AVENUE			BAYERL, RAYMOND J		
SUITE 2800	VENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347			2173		
			DATE MAILED: 03/16/200:	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/974,72	27	COCO ET AL.				
Oπice A	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Raymond	<u> </u>	2173	<u></u>			
The MAILING Period for Reply	G DATE of this communication	n appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
THE MAILING DAT - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from the period for reply specified in the period for reply specified in the period for reply within the Any reply received by the	ATUTORY PERIOD FOR R E OF THIS COMMUNICATION TO A C	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no even on. In a reply within the state period will apply and wi statute, cause the apply	ent, however, may a reply be tin story minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•							
1) Responsive to	o communication(s) filed on	10 December 2	<u>004</u> .					
2a) This action is	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4a) Of the abo 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1 - 2</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)		hdrawn from co						
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specificat	ion is objected to by the Exa	ıminer.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119							
a) All b) S 1. Certifie 2. Certifie 3. Copies applica	tent is made of a claim for for some * c) None of: ad copies of the priority docur ad copies of the priority docur a of the certified copies of the ation from the International Br and detailed Office action for a	ments have bee ments have bee priority docume ureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)				·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
	Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)			

Serial Number: 09/974,727

Art Unit: 2173

1. Claims 1 – 22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1 - 56 of U. S. Patent No. 6,331,864 B1 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent. Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

The patented claims and the present claims both relate to the interoperation between an input and an output multimedia data signal, where one device is linked to another device so as to obtain a real-time effect. The Examiner regrets that the US Patent number "5,388,264" was listed in the previous Office action stating this ground, but applicant's indications of intent to file a terminal disclaimer imply that applicant knew of the existence of parent US Patent No. 6,331,864 B1 and recognized the action as referring to it instead.

In the 10 December 2004 response, applicant states that "a Terminal Disclaimer...is being filed simultaneously with this Amendment". However, at the time that the Examiner took up the application for action, this Disclaimer was not present in the file; thus, the repeated rejection on the new set of claims that still conflict with those that passed to issue.

Serial Number: 09/974,727 Page 3

Art Unit: 2173

2. Claims 1 - 22 are patentable over the prior art now made of record.

The Examiner is in general agreement with applicant's position regarding prior art in the 10 December 2004 response; the added limitation of "without determining if the type of audio input represented by the transmit control is compatible with the type of visual output represented by the receive control" (claim 1; and similar language in independent claims 9, 15) distinguishes over references like Tobias, II et al. (US #5,388,264) and Davis et al. (US #5,969,716).

During an additional and updating search related to the present consideration of the application, the Examiner further noted that Davis et al. (US #5,687,334) shows the connectivity of devices through an iconic display and Gasper et al. (US #5,613,056) shows that a speech signal can be made to affect a corresponding graphical animated sequence. However, these additional references do not teach or disclose that such processes may occur without taking into account the type and compatibility of the related signal sources and sinks.

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Serial Number: 09/974,727 Page 4

Art Unit: 2173

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond J. Bayerl whose telephone number is (571) 272-4045. The examiner can normally be reached on M - Th from 9:00 AM to 4:00 PM ET.

- 5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca, can be reached on (571) 272-4048. All patent application related correspondence transmitted by FAX **must be directed** to the central FAX number (703) 872-9306.
- 6. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

RAYMOND J. BAYERL
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2173
14 Maych 2005